



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,366	07/24/2003	Kent K. Leung	CISCP091C1	2451

22434 7590 04/16/2009  
Weaver Austin Villeneuve & Sampson LLP  
P.O. BOX 70250  
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250

EXAMINER
----------

MOORE, IAN N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2416

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

04/16/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/627,366	LEUNG, KENT K.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	IAN N. MOORE	2416	

**All Participants:**

(1) IAN N. MOORE.

(2) Schereyce Brown.

**Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_**

(3) Elise Heilbrunn.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 4 April 2009

**Time:** 4:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*none*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ian N. Moore/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2416

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

In the IDS filed on 7/24/2003 page 2, under other documents, the document title "Mobile IP, Release 12.0(1)T, pages 1-55" does not have a date.

Thus, the applicants Elise Heilbrunn and Schereyce Brown were contacted to obtain the permission to add a date for this particular document in the IDS.